Polymorphisms of the XRCC1 DNA Repair Gene in Head and Neck Cancer
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Inherited polymorphisms in the genes controlling the cell cycle or functioning in the DNA repair mechanisms may impair their function and contribute to genetic susceptibility. Abnormalities in the DNA repair have been reported in head and neck cancer. The XRCC1 gene functions in single-strand break and base excision repair processes. In this study, two polymorphisms of the XRCC1 gene, Arg194Trp and Arg399Gln were investigated in 95 patients with head and neck carcinoma. The polymorphic regions were amplified by PCR followed by digestion with methylation-specific restriction enzymes, and analyzed electrophoretically. Genotype and allele frequencies were calculated, and association with cancer risk or clinical parameters was investigated. No association was observed between the genotypes and head and neck cancer for either polymorphism. Distribution of the alleles did not significantly differ between the patients and the control group. A significant association was only found for the Trp194 allele among the smoking individuals. Our data indicate that the Arg194Trp and Arg399Gln polymorphisms do not confer a significant risk for head and neck carcinogenesis. (Pathology Oncology Research Vol 11, No 1, 22–25)
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Introduction

Head and neck cancer is the sixth most common cancer in the world. Tobacco and alcohol consumption are the main etiological factors in head and neck carcinogenesis. Previous studies have shown that genetic susceptibility plays an important role in the risk of developing this disease. Head and neck carcinogenesis is associated with abnormalities in DNA repair, apoptosis, carcinogen metabolism and cell-cycle control, and is one of the best models to investigate the relationships between gene and environment. DNA repair mechanisms function to maintain genome integrity by reducing the mutation rate of cancer-related genes. There is considerable evidence that DNA repair capacity is genetically determined. It has been shown that reduction in this capacity is associated with increased risk of certain cancers. Thus, inherited polymorphisms in the DNA repair genes may impair their function and increase the risk of cancer.

The X-ray repair cross complementary 1 (XRCC1) gene product is implicated in single-strand break repair and base excision repair mechanisms. The XRCC1 protein interacts with poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase, DNA polymerase-β and DNA ligase-III participating in the multi-step base excision repair and removal of base adducts produced by oxidative damage, methylation, reduction or fragmentation. Cells with a mutant XRCC1 gene have increased sensitivity to ultraviolet or ionizing radiation and alkylating agents. Two important polymorphisms leading to amino acid substitutions have been identified at the evolutionarily conserved regions of the XRCC1 gene. The Arg194Trp polymorphism in exon 6, codon 194 results from C→T substitution. Another substitution (G→A) at codon 399 in exon 10 leads to the change of arginine to glutamine.

The Arg194Trp substitution resides in the region separating the DNA polymerase-β and polyADP ribose polymerase-interacting domains. The codon 399 polymorphic site is located within the BRCT-1 domain, and is associat-
ed with significant reduction in the repair capacity. It has been suggested that polymorphisms at the active sites involved in protein-protein interactions may result in reduced efficiency to repair DNA damage and confer an increased risk to cancer.  

In this case-control study, we aimed to investigate the association between polymorphisms of the XRCC1 gene and risk of head and neck cancer or the clinical parameters.

Materials and Methods

Peripheral venous blood samples of 95 newly diagnosed patients (83 men and 12 women, mean age 59.6±12.5, range 12-84) with head and neck cancer, and of 98 healthy individuals (51 men and 47 women, mean age 47.2±8.8, range 30-76) were investigated. Eighty-four percent of the patients had squamous cell carcinoma. The remaining tumors were adenoma (n=5), mixed tumors (n=5), adenoid cystic carcinoma (n=3), Walthür tumors (n=2), and sarcoma (n=2). Thirteen percent had Stage I, 32% Stage II, 36% Stage III and 19% Stage IV disease. Metastasis was not present in 73 (77%) patients. Eighty-three percent of the patients and 40% of the control population were smokers. Twenty-eight patients consumed alcohol regularly.

DNA was isolated by phenol/chloroform extraction after overnight incubation with proteinase K at 37°C. The DNA samples were amplified by using two different primer pairs specific for the two polymorphic regions of XRCC1 gene. Primer sequences (Integrated DNA Technologies, Iowa, USA) were: exon 6, codon 194: 5’-GCC CCG TCC CAG GTA-3’ forward and 5’-CCC AAG ACC CTT TCA CT-3’ reverse; exon 10, codon 399: 5’-TGC TTT CTC TGT GTC CA-3’ forward and 5’-TCC AGC CTT TTC TGA TA-3’ reverse.

PCR reactions were performed in 50 ml 1x PCR buffer (MBI, Fermentas, Lithuania) containing 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.3 mM of each primer, 200 mM of each dNTP (MBI, Fermentas), 100 ng DNA and 2 U Taq polymerase (MBI, Fermentas). Following initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 minutes, amplification was performed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 35 seconds, annealing at 62°C for 35 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 45 seconds. The reaction was terminated by extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were digested with 5 U MspI (MBI, Fermentas) at 37°C. Digested products were resolved on 2% agarose (Agarose Gel) or 8% polyacrylamide (Agarose Gel) gels containing 10% glycerol, 0.5x TEB buffer and 1:10 TEMED/10% ammonium-persulfate at 150 V for 3.5 h, and analyzed in a video gel documentation system (Vilber Lourmat, Cedex, France) after treating with ethidium bromide.

Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to evaluate statistical significance. The relative risk of HNSCC was calculated by using the Finetti case-control statistics program (http://ihg.gsdf.de/cgi-bin/hw/hwa1.pl). To investigate the associations between the clinical parameters and genotypes, the Chi² and Fisher’s exact tests were applied using the Practical Stats (www.unc.edu/~preacher/index.htm) program package.

Results

XRCC1 Arg399Gln, XRCC1 Arg194Trp polymorphisms were investigated by PCR/RFLP. The recognition site of the restriction enzyme is present only in the arginine coding allele for the 399Gln polymorphism. Thus, after digestion, two fragments of 241 and 374 bp are obtained for the wild-type A/rg/A/rg genotype. The homozygous variant genotypes display only one fragment of 615 bp, and the heterozygotes display all three fragments (Figure 1).

For the Arg194Trp polymorphic site, after digestion with MspI, two fragments of 174 and 292 bp or 174 and 235 bp were obtained (Figure 2).
311 bp are obtained for the wild type (Arg/Arg) or homozygous variant (Gln/Gln) genotypes, respectively. Heterozygous individuals displayed all three fragments of 174, 292 and 311 bp (Figure 2).

The genotype frequencies and distribution of the alleles are given in Table 1. The data are consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. No significant difference was observed between the controls and patients for either polymorphism, although the risk associated with the Trp allele was close to statistical significance (Arg/Arg vs. Arg/Trp+Trp/Trp: OR (95%CI)=1.92 (0.83-4.43), p=0.12).

Association with the demographic parameters (gender, family history, alcohol and tobacco consumption) was also evaluated as a risk factor for the disease. Both alcohol (p=0.01; Chi²=5.94; df=1) and tobacco consumption (p=0.000; Chi²=25.85; df=1) were significant risk factors for SCCHN. Smoking patients had a higher risk of SCCHN than non-smoking patients (codon 194: Arg/Arg vs. Arg/Trp+Trp/Trp: Fisher’s p=0.0047 and codon 399: Arg/Arg vs. Arg/Gln+Gln/Gln: Fisher’s p=0.029). When smoking patients were compared with the smoking individuals in the control group with respect to the polymorphisms, a statistically significant association was found only for the Trp allele carriers (Arg/Arg vs. Arg/Trp+Trp/Trp: OR (95%CI)=5.2 (0.29-93.3)). The risk of head and neck cancer among this group was 5.2-fold higher. No such association was observed for the codon 399 polymorphism.

Table 1. Genotype frequencies and allelic distributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XRPC1 Polymorphisms</th>
<th>SCCHN (n=95)</th>
<th>Control (n=98)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P (Chi²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exon 10, codon 399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg399Gln (G→A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg/Arg</td>
<td>42 (44)</td>
<td>39 (40)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg/Gln</td>
<td>41 (43)</td>
<td>46 (47)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.45-1.52)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln/Gln</td>
<td>12 (13)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.35-2.10)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg/Gln+Gln/Gln</td>
<td>53 (56)</td>
<td>59 (60)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.47-1.48)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>65 (34)</td>
<td>72 (37)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.6-1.36)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>125 (66)</td>
<td>124 (63)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon 6, codon 194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg194Trp (C→T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg/Arg</td>
<td>78 (82)</td>
<td>88 (90)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg/Trp</td>
<td>14 (15)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>1.97 (0.79-4.96)</td>
<td>0.14 (2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp/Trp</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.28-10.39)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg/Trp+Trp/Trp</td>
<td>17 (18)</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>1.92 (0.83-4.43)</td>
<td>0.12 (2.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
<td>12 (6)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.86-3.80)</td>
<td>0.11 (2.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>170 (89)</td>
<td>184 (94)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

DNA repair mechanisms play an important role in maintaining genomic integrity in response to mutations, replication errors and environmental effects. The DNA repair enzyme, XRCC1 functions in the base excision repair of genomic damage caused by exposure to carcinogens such as tobacco or alcohol. Amino acid substitutions in the active protein binding domains may impair the efficiency to repair DNA damage and affect the function of this enzyme. Individual differences in the DNA repair capacity or metabolic activation and detoxification processes may affect the acquired host status and influence the risk of developing cancer. Association of the XRCC1 polymorphisms with different cancer types such as lung, breast, gastric, colon, head and neck cancers (7,26) and esophageal carcinomas (8,9) has been investigated. However, the results are not consistent. Our aim was to evaluate the association between the XRCC1 Arg399Gln and Arg194Trp polymorphisms and risk of SCCHN in the Turkish population.

In our study we did not observe a significant difference between Gln allele frequencies in the patients and the controls (Arg/Arg vs. Arg/Gln+Gln/Gln: OR (95%CI)=0.83 (0.47-1.48), p=0.53). Although some reports in the literature support an association between the Gln allele and other types of cancer (8,9,23,26) our results are more in line with studies suggesting lack of association (3,7,10,15). The frequency of the Trp allele was not significantly different in the patients. In contrast to a report on patients with colon cancer (1), these data are in concordance with studies in which the Arg194Trp polymorphism was not found to confer a significant risk for head and neck cancer (17,19) or breast cancer in the Caucasian population. The conflicting results may stem from the complexity of cancer etiology with regard to exposure to car-
cacinogens, DNA repair genotypes or other genetic factors, and to the small sample sizes.

On the other hand, we observed a highly significant positive association between the frequency of the variant alleles for both polymorphisms and the risk of head and neck cancer among the patients with regard to smoking. This data is consistent with a recent study on breast cancer, and supports the role of tobacco consumption in the etiology of head and neck carcinoma.

It has been hypothesized that the homozygote variant genotype of one polymorphism is significantly associated with head and neck carcinogenesis, but the role of tobacco consumption in the etiology of polymorphisms and head and neck cancer may imply that the base excision pathway may not be involved in the repair of damaged effects playing a role in the initiation of head and neck carcinogenesis.
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